JOHN LINDER

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

1727 LONGWORTH HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515–1011 (202) 225–4272 FAX: (202) 225–4696 EMAIL: JOHN,LINDER:@MAIL: HOUSE.GOV HTTP://LINDER.HOUSE.GOV

COMMITTEE ON RULES

COMMITTEE ON HOUSE ADMINISTRATION

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Washington, DC 20515—1011

July 13, 2001

DISTRICT OFFICES: 220 COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 314 ATHENS, GA 30601 (706) 355-9909 FAX: (706) 355-9968

3675 CRESTWOOD PARKWAY SUITE 530 DULUTH, GA 30096 (770) 931–9550 FAX: (770) 931–2775

The Honorable Michael Powell Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street Southwest Washington, D.C. 20024-2101 RECEIVEL

AUG 2 7 2001

FEMERAL OGNIMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 2547

Dear Chairman Powell:

Please find enclosed a letter from Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Cofer, constituents of mine from Conyers, Georgia. Mr. and Mrs. Cofer are concerned about the inability of small market and independent television stations to comply with the mandated requirement to provide digital channels. I share these concerns, as this may force a number of small stations to cease operation. Please review Mr. and Mrs. Cofer's request, and respond to me with the appropriate information to address their concerns.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I look forward to your reply.

Sincerely,

John Linder

Member of Congress

JL:mgs

10. MA 22 8 5 3UA

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

Stanley & Janis Cofer 1544 Reagan Circle NW Conyers, GA 30012

June 25, 2001

The Honorable John Linder Longworth HOB Room 1727 Washington DC 20515

RE: Support for Modification of the DTV Build-out Deadline of May 1, 2002, and the Early Return of the Digital Channel Allowing for a Prompt Auction

Dear Representative,

I am a supporter of the Trinity Broadcasting Network (TBN) and all smaller market and independent television stations around the country who, by government mandate, must build a digital channel by May 1, 2002. The government's plan simply does not provide sufficient time for such an undertaking, and is unfair to stations like TBN which are publicly supported and need a longer time to plan for the enormous expense of building and operating another channel.

At present, fewer than 200 of the more than 1600 television stations in the nation have built digital channels, and most of those are in the major television markets. Stations in the smaller markets simply need more time to complete their build-out. Also, there are very few viewers who have digital tuners that can receive this service, so Congress should not punish the independent stations by obligating them to spend the millions of dollars required to build and operate a digital station when no one is watching.

To accommodate the smaller market and independent stations, I am asking you to support giving those smaller stations an option of returning their digital channel now, which would allow for an early auction of the retrieved frequency by the government. Electing stations would then be relieved of the May 1, 2002 build-out deadline, and allowed to convert to a digital operation by the December 31, 2006 transition deadline for all analog stations.

I hope you will act to help in this important matter. I would appreciate a response.

than gan lop

Respectfully.

Stan and Jan Cofer

The Honorable John Linder Member, U.S. House of Representatives 3675 Crestwood Parkway, Suite 530 Duluth, GA 30096

Dear Congressman Linder:

Thank you for forwarding the recent letter from your constituents, Mr. and Mrs. Stanley Cofer, regarding the transition to digital television (DTV). They suggest that the Commission allow smaller market or publicly-supported stations the option of returning their digital channel now and delaying their conversion from analog to digital operation until the 2006 DTV transition deadline.

In establishing service rules for the DTV transition, the Commission expressly rejected requests that it allow smaller market and noncommercial stations to make an immediate transition to digital television at the end of the transition, eliminating the need to construct and operate a second facility during the transition. While sensitive to the economic challenges facing small market broadcasters and the funding problems facing noncommercial stations, the Commission rejected this approach as undermining its concurrent DTV and analog broadcasting policy, including simulcasting, which was premised on broadcasters operating an NTSC and a DTV station until the end of the transition. The Commission noted, however, that it could revisit this conclusion during any of its DTV periodic reviews.

There is a process stations may use to seek an extension of the DTV construction deadline. In the *Fifth Report and Order* with respect to DTV, the Commission noted that it will grant an extension of the construction deadline where a broadcaster has been unable to complete construction due to circumstances that are either unforeseeable or beyond the licensee's control if the licensee has taken all reasonable steps to resolve the problem expeditiously. Such circumstances include, but are not limited to, the inability to construct and place in operation a facility necessary for transmitting DTV, such as a tower, because of delays in obtaining zoning or FAA approvals, or similar constraints, or lack of equipment necessary to transmit a DTV signal. The Commission stated that it did not anticipate that the circumstance of "lack of equipment" would include the cost of such equipment. The Commission delegated authority to the Mass Media Bureau to grant two such six-month extensions of time, after which extension requests will be referred to the Commission.

The Commission is currently considering petitions for reconsideration of the *Report and Order* in the first DTV periodic review proceeding. Some of these petitions have asked the

Commission to extend the applicable construction deadlines for stations in smaller markets, citing costs and hardship, and those petitions are pending.

The Commission will include your constituents' letter in the record of the DTV periodic review proceedings and consider all views carefully. I hope this is responsive to your concerns.

Sincerely,

Roy J. Stewart Chief, Mass Media Bureau